

going to apply a different rule for those vehicles, that is the first thing. The second thing in the bill is the fact that we apply a different rule, 100 feet, for Department of Roads vehicles with flashing lights. Now I made the argument a while ago that we ought to apply that to a little broader segment, and there was an amendment that did so, that applies it to other vehicles with flashing lights. But I still have some problems with saying that because a pickup happens to have a flashing light, or a car happens to have a flashing light that you ought to follow at a different distance than you do your car or my car that you might not have a flashing light flashing. Why does that make sense to you? It doesn't make sense to me. If the reasonable and prudent language is not enforceable then adopt this amendment that changes it to a specific number of feet...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR VICKERS: ...300 feet, and applies it to all of us, to all vehicles out there. It seems to me that is the best way to go. Senator Withem didn't indicate that he really opposed the idea. He said we ought to maybe have a hearing on it. Well, maybe we should. That is the next motion up there. I urge you to adopt this amendment.

PRESIDENT: Motion is the adoption of Senator Vickers' amendment to LB 437. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. We're voting on the adoption of the Vickers amendment to 437. Please record your vote. The house is under call. Members are required to be in your seats during the time the house is under call. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record the vote, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 15 ayes, 25 nays, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The motion fails. Anything else on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Vickers would move to refer LB 437 back to the Public Works Committee for further study.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, members, it is obvious that you want to adopt a concept that I think is the wrong concept.